

1 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)
2 MJacobs@mofo.com
3 ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ (CA SBN 121490)
AGonzalez@mofo.com
4 ERIC A. TATE (CA SBN 178719)
ETate@mofo.com
5 RUDY Y. KIM (CA SBN 199426)
RKKim@mofo.com
6 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
7 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
Telephone: 415.268.7000
Facsimile: 415.268.7522

8 KAREN L. DUNN (*Pro Hac Vice*)
kdunn@bsfllp.com
9 HAMISH P.M. HUME (*Pro Hac Vice*)
hhume@bsfllp.com
10 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
1401 New York Avenue, N.W.
11 Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: 202.237.2727
12 Facsimile: 202.237.6131

13 *Counsel for Defendants*
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
14 and OTTOMOTTO LLC

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

18 WAYMO LLC,

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

19 Plaintiff,

**DEFENDANTS UBER
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S AND
OTTOMOTTO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS TO THEIR
MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION
OF DOCUMENTS**

20 v.

21 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,

22 Defendants.

23
24 Judge: Hon. Jacqueline Scott Corley
Trial Date: October 10, 2017

25

26

27

28

1 Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Defendants Uber Technologies,
 2 Inc. and Ottomotto LLC (collectively, “Uber”) submit this administrative motion for an order to
 3 file under seal exhibits to Uber’s Motion to Compel the Production of Documents. Specifically,
 4 Uber requests an order granting leave to file under seal the confidential portions of the following:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
Letter Brief to Compel the Production of Documents	Highlighted Portions	Plaintiff
Pritt Declaration ISO Letter Brief to Compel Production of Documents (“Pritt Declaration”)	Highlighted Portions	Plaintiff
Exhibit 3 to the Pritt Declaration	Entire Document	Plaintiff
Exhibit 4 to the Pritt Declaration	Entire Document	Plaintiff
Exhibit 5 to the Pritt Declaration	Entire Document	Plaintiff
Exhibit 6 to the Pritt Declaration	Entire Document	Plaintiff

14 The highlighted portions of the Letter Brief and Pritt Declaration, and the entirety of
 15 Exhibits 3, 4, 5 and 6, contain information that Waymo has designated “Highly Confidential –
 16 Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the Protective Order in this case, or that Waymo asked Uber to
 17 file under seal. (See Pritt Decl. ISO Uber’s Administrative Motion to File Documents Under
 18 Seal, ¶ 3.) Uber does not believe any of this information warrants sealing and opposes Waymo’s
 19 request.

20 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d)(2), Uber will lodge with the Clerk the documents at
 21 issue, with accompanying chamber copies. Uber served Waymo with this motion on August 3,
 22 2017.

23 Dated: August 3, 2017

24 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

25 By: /s/ Karen L. Dunn
 Karen L. Dunn

26 *Counsel for Defendants*
 27 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND
 OTTOMOTTO LLC